

Corrigendum

The following revised Table 3, published in *International Journal of Odonatology*, Volume 14, Issue 3, pp. 281–289, in the article ‘Odonata colour: more than meets the eye?’, by Harris, W. E., Forman, D. W., Battell, R. D., Battell, M. T. R., Nelson A. K. & Brain P. F. is reprinted here with scientific names replacing the vernacular name originally provided for each species; the order in which species and data are presented is unchanged. This is done in accord with the newly formalized policy of the Editorial Board and with the authors’ permission.

Table 3. A comparison of the appearance of sexual dimorphism in the visible and UV spectra in a range of dragonfly species.

Species	Sexual dimorphism in visible spectrum	Sexual dimorphism in UV reflectance	Is the male territorial?
<i>Brachytron pratense</i>	Yes	Yes	Yes
<i>Aeshna mixta</i>	Yes	Yes	Yes
<i>A. cyanea</i>	Yes	Yes	Yes
<i>A. grandis</i>	Yes	Yes	Yes
<i>Sympetrum striolatum</i>	Yes	Yes	Yes
<i>S. sanguineum</i>	Yes	Yes	Yes
<i>Libellula depressa</i>	Yes	Yes	Yes
<i>L. quadrimaculata</i>	No	No	Yes
<i>Calopteryx splendens</i>	Yes	Yes	Yes
<i>Coenagrion puella</i>	Yes	Yes	No